CANUCK LAW
Reporting That You're Not Getting Elsewhere (Do Your Own Research To Verify)

FEBRUARY 6, 2023 BY RONNIE

Private Member’s Bill C-293: Domestic Implementation Of International Pandemic Treaty





Remember the hype in 2022 about a a proposed Global Pandemic Treaty? This was supposed to be an agreement that would give the World Health Organization binding legal authority over elected Governments. That seems to have stalled, at least to the casual observer.

Of course, the W.H.O. *already has* binding legal authority over Member States, which includes Canada. Anyone who's ever read their Constitution would know that. That said, it doesn't stop politicians from slipping in their rules in domestic legislation.

Private Member's Bill C-293 was sponsored by Liberal M.P. Nathaniel Erskine-Smith (Beaches—East York). The content of which is interesting, to say the least.

Most Private Bills don't become law. However, their content may become embedded into other legislation, and rammed through without proper debate and consideration.

Erskine-Smith isn't a big player in Canadian politics. So, it's strange that he would introduce something like this. Have to wonder if he wrote any of it.

> Preamble
> Whereas the ***costs of prevention and preparedness measures are insignificant in comparison*** to the human and economic costs of a pandemic;

> Whereas Parliament is ***committed to making efforts to prevent the risk of and prepare for future pandemics*** and to promote transparency and accountability in relation to those efforts;
>
> Whereas it is critical to build on the lessons learned from previous outbreaks of serious diseases, including severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS), Ebola virus disease (EVD), Zika virus disease, tuberculosis, H1N1 flu and coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19);
>
> Whereas a ***One Health approach*** — a multisectoral and multidisciplinary collaborative approach that focuses on the ***human, animal, plant and ecosystem health and welfare interface*** — is central to preventing the risk of future pandemics;
>
> And whereas this approach requires sustained collaboration among various ministers, all levels of government and Indigenous communities;
>
> Now, therefore, Her Majesty, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate and House of Commons of Canada, enacts as follows:

There is something of a bait-and-switch here. While the Bill is *presented* as cooperation between various Governments in Canada, it's clear that it also involves supra-national control.

> Plan — contents
> (2) The pandemic prevention and preparedness plan must

> (a) ***set out a summary of mitigation strategies*** that the Minister of Health intends to implement in order to prevent the risk of and prepare for disease outbreaks that could lead to pandemics, as well as a projected timeline for their implementation;

Should we just state the obvious? They aren't *preparing* for future outbreaks. Instead, this is laying the groundwork to erase more of people's rights under the pretext of an outbreak.

> (iv) the surge capacity of human resources required for the ***testing and contact tracing of persons exposed to infectious diseases***, and

Contact tracing is just a means to implement mass surveillance.

> (i) the manufacturing capacity in Canada with respect to any product relevant to pandemic preparedness, including ***vaccines, testing equipment and personal protective equipmen***t, and the measures that the Minister of Industry intends to take to address any supply chain gaps identified, and

Expect more taxpayer money to be pumped into "building up reserves", regardless of whether such items would ever be used. Think of the millions of vaccines that are going to waste.

> (ii) the communications capacity and infrastructure for electronic platforms and tools, including ***electronic applications that enable contact tracing of persons exposed to infectious diseases*** that could lead to pandemics;

Building the infrastructure for electronic monitoring of "infected" people?! Canada already has a system in place to track people on parole and probation. Why would such an expansion be necessary, unless they were anticipating a massive influx?

> (ii) ***regulate commercial activities*** that can contribute to pandemic risk, including ***industrial animal agriculture***,

> (iii) promote commercial activities that can help reduce pandemic risk, including the ***production of alternative proteins***, and

Going back to the 2017 Federal Budget, millions were pumped into the "alternative protein" industry. Considering that this Bill also talks about reducing natural agriculture, a cynic may wonder if this is done to force citizens to take these alternative "foods".

> (iv) ***phase out commercial activities*** that disproportionately contribute to pandemic risk, including activities that ***involve high-risk species***;

The suggestion has been made many times before, that this can be used as an excuse to attack the food supply. As such, the public would be forced to starve, or seek other alternative foods.

> (m) include the following information, to be provided by the Minister of the Environment:
> (i) after consultation with relevant provincial ministers, a ***summary of changes in land use in Canada, including in relation to disturbed habitats, that could contribute to pandemic risk***, such as deforestation, encroachment on wildlife habitats and urbanization and that were made, in the case of the first plan, since the last report on changes in land use published under the ***Federal Sustainable Development Act or***, in the case of the updated plans, during the reporting period for the updated plan,

This is essentially merging the U.N. Sustainable Development Agenda with the W.H.O.'s goals. Sorts of sounds like the GREAT RESET, which was just a conspiracy theory.

> (ii) a summary of the measures the Minister of the Environment intends to take to reduce the risk that the commercial wildlife trade in Canada and abroad will lead to a pandemic, ***including measures to regulate or phase out live animal markets***, and

Phase out live animal markets? Is this a way to help manufacture a food shortage? Could this be done by claiming that entire farms are "at risk", and then culling them to protect the public?

> (n) include a summary, to be provided by the Minister of Foreign Affairs, of the ***measures that that minister intends to take to support global health equity***, including measures to increase public health capacity around the world and to ***ensure equitable access to vaccines, testing equipment and treatment***;

> (o) set out, in consultation with relevant ministers, a summary of key ***cooperative measures or agreements*** on disease outbreak prevention and preparedness between the Government of Canada, other foreign governments and key international organizations, including the ***World Health Organization, the United Nations Environment Programme, the World Organization for Animal Health and the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations***; and

Here's where it hits home. This will not simply be a Canadian system. Instead, it will be done in collaboration with:

- Foreign Governments
- World Health Organization
- United Nations Environment Programme

- U.N. Animal Health and the Food and Agriculture Organization

Read the entire Bill to make sure that nothing is being taken out of context. But this looks like a way to slip even more draconian measures onto the public.

And again, there has been — to my knowledge — any debate or reporting on this Bill. Why exactly is that? Isn't this in the public interest?

Constitution of the World Health Organization, New York, 22 July 1946
Entry into Force
07 April 1948
Signed by Canada
22 July 1946
Canada has consented to be bound by
Acceptance, 29 August 1946
References
Canada Treaty Series 1946/32

1908: International Public Health Office to be created
1926: International Sanitary Convention was ratified in Paris.
1946: WHO's Constitution was signed, and it's something we'll get into in more detail.
1951: International Sanitary Regulations adopted by Member States.
1969: International Health Regulations (1st Edition) replaced ISR. These are legally binding on all Member States.
2005: International Health Regulations 3rd Edition of IHR were ratified.

Without regurgitating the whole thing, the W.H.O. Constitution has been covered before, including the fact that it's legally binding on Member States.

As has been outlined here before, the 2005 Quarantine Act, Bill C-12, was really just domestic implementation of the 3rd Edition of the International Health Regulations.

We've also gone heavily into the creation of PHAC, which is essentially just a branch of the World Health Organization. It was created at WHO's instigation. It takes over (to a large

degree) what Health Canada had been doing. The timeline is laid out, and worth a read.

Why does an unknown like Nathaniel Erskine-Smith introduce such a Bill? According to his Wikipedia page, he's actually brought forward several pieces. This included (in the last Parliament) Bill C-235 to delete the drug possession offence from the Criminal Code. He also brought Bill C-236, to expand diversion alternatives for criminal cases involving drugs.

Whether or not this "Pandemic Treaty" ever goes ahead, this legislation seems designed to carry out the goals it was intended for. Perhaps this should be put to a public debate.

Again, why is this being done quietly in a Private Member's Bill?

(1) https://eppc.org/publication/the-whos-pandemic-treaty/
(2) WHO Constitution, Full Document
(3) https://www.who.int/about/governance/constitution
(4) https://www.parl.ca/legisinfo/en/bills?chamber=1&page=3
(5) https://www.parl.ca/legisinfo/en/bill/44-1/c-293
(6) https://www.ourcommons.ca/Members/en/nathaniel-erskine-smith(88687)
(7) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nathaniel_Erskine-Smith
(8) https://www.parl.ca/legisinfo/en/bill/43-1/c-235
(9) https://www.parl.ca/legisinfo/en/bill/43-1/c-236

CANADA, CANADIAN BILLS, DYSGENICS, GLOBALISM, HEALTH, HOLODOMOR 2.0, PRIVACY, PROPERTY RIGHTS, UN/WHO, VACCINES

5 Replies to "Private Member's Bill C-293: Domestic Implementation Of International Pandemic Treaty"

CK

FEBRUARY 7, 2023 AT 7:54 AM

These government fucks & po-lie-ticians all forgot they SERVE WE THE PEOPLE & THEY WORK FOR WE THE PEOPLE! They serve us they do NOT get to have power over us WE ARE THEIR EMPLOYERS SO WE SAY NO! These corrupt po-lie-ticians & government officials are all

TRAITORS & are committing TREASON on WE The People! Enough is enough! Our military needs to step in & arrest all these treasonous bastards! WE THE PEOPLE ARE SOVEREIGN BEINGS & WE HOLD THE POWER!

minister Edward Jay Robin

FEBRUARY 8, 2023 AT 10:25 AM

Reading 180 of the criminal code and how it relates to 176 will let you in on the power Trudeau tried to repeal unsuccessfully in 2018,,,read 423 as well as they are interrelsted and immutable to erase civil code assumptions

Jay

FEBRUARY 7, 2023 AT 8:12 AM

Love this website and keep it up. Nice to be able to see all the sneaky crap these guys are pulling off behind the scenes.
The gist, I'm getting, with these 'private members' bills is that their writing up all the bs to see how it 'reads', and then be used in the future, as you say, jammed into some other bill.
I totally see the WEF's corrupted fingers all over these bills.
I'm going to guess, since politics is really non-existent in this country now (since everyone is corrupt) that we're going to get boned hard somewhere in the near future.
Can't wait….

Skxj

SEPTEMBER 20, 2024 AT 11:20 AM

@Jay yes I agree and will add that once this bill is passed would it not give them the freedom to pick and choose which parts they could add into another bill they intend to make law and seeing it's already passed there is no scrutinee on those parts because they've already passed ?
Sneaky f**kers they are.

Michale Pinsoneault

NOVEMBER 6, 2024 AT 8:36 PM

International concern, excess deaths – YouTube https://linkdirectory.at/detail/international-concern-excess-deaths-youtube